

STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284)
Acting United States Attorney

HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020)
Chief, Criminal Division

DANIEL PASTOR (CABN 297948)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
FAX: (415) 436-7234
daniel.pastor@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JUVENCIO GAMEZ CID, and
CRISTIAN ALVARADO,
Defendants.

) NO. CR-20-0450 (EMC)
)
) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM
) APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JUVENCIO GAMEZ CID, and
JOSE ALFREDO VILLALOBOS CISNEROS,
Defendants.

) NO. CR-20-451 (EMC)
)
) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM
) APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

1
2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 FRANCISCO RICARDO MIRANDA, and
6 URIEL SOTO

7 Defendants.
8
9

NO. CR-20-452 (EMC)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM
APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021

10
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 RAUDEL MACIAS,
15 BENITO MACIAS, and
FAWN LARANCE

16 Defendants.
17
18

Case No. CR21-026 (EMC)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM
APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021

19
20 The parties appeared for a status on April 21, 2021. The government reported that it has
21 produced initial discovery to the defendants through the court-appointed discovery coordinator and that
22 additional discovery will soon be produced. Defense counsel stated that they would need time to review
23 discovery and requested that the next appearance be set in 90 days. The Court set the next status for
24 July 21, 2021.


25 The parties agreed to exclude time for effective preparation of counsel and due to the complexity
26 of the case. The Court agreed to exclude time for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account
27 the exercise of due diligence. It further agreed that time should be excluded based on the complexity of
28 the case.

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Based upon the facts set forth on the record, those stated above, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (the Speedy Trial Act) for the period from April 21, 2021 through July 21, 2021 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendants the effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that these cases are a complex matter and that it would be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the time from April 21, 2021 through July 21, 2021 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act.

DATED: April 26, 2021


HON. EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge